

# Characterization of mineral waste as potential precursors for alkali-activated materials

B. Ratz<sup>1</sup>, K. P. Sedlazeck<sup>1</sup>, S. Raič<sup>2</sup>, F. R. Steindl<sup>2,3</sup>, O. Rudic<sup>2,3</sup>, C. Grengg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chair of Waste Processing Technology and Waste Management, Montanuniversitaet Leoben, Franz Josef-Straße 18, 8700 Leoben, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Applied Geosciences, Graz University of Technology, Rechbauerstraße 12, 8010 Graz, Austria

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Technology and Testing of Construction Materials, Graz University of Technology, Inffeldgasse 24, 8010 Graz, Austria

Keywords: mineral waste, characterization, alkali-activated material, geopolymer.

Presenting author email: bettina.ratz@unileoben.ac.at

In the European Union (EU), major mineral wastes such as soils, hard rocks and concrete account for about 1.4 billion tons (European Environment Agency, 2023). In Austria, mineral waste represents the largest waste stream, comprising about 75% of the total waste. Currently, over 50% of this waste is deposited in landfills (BMK, 2023).

The building and construction sector contributes nearly 40% of global operational energy and process-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with approximately 6% merely attributed to the production of concrete, steel and aluminum used in the building construction (United Nations Environment Programme, 2022). Concrete is the most used building material and contains Ordinary Portland cement (OPC), whose production process, particularly due to the production of Portland cement clinker, alone accounts for 5-7% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Benhelal et al., 2013; IEA, 2018; Huang et al., 2020). Additionally, the building and construction industry is responsible for the consumption of considerable amounts of primary resources.

Geopolymers, a subset of alkali-activated materials (AAM), are inorganic binders which are produced by mixing of a solid aluminosilicate precursor with an alkaline activator. Regarding geopolymers, the alkali activation reaction (AAR), leads to the formation of a 3D network consisting of aluminate and silicate tetrahedra (Provis and van Deventer, 2009). The most utilized precursors include calcined clays, fly ash, blast furnace slag or natural pozzolans (Provis, 2014), to serve as sources for soluble Al and Si components for the alkali activation process.

However, other inorganic solid Si- and/or Al-rich substances, such as mineral waste or secondary raw materials, could serve as substitutes. Therefore, to decrease the amount of landfilled waste and the negative environmental impact of the building and construction sector, geopolymer construction materials are aimed to be produced, using currently unexploited Austrian waste materials. These waste-based geopolymers could represent an environmentally friendly and highly resistant alternative to OPC products in the context of circular economy. An example for a potential application field are biochemically aggressive environments, such as biowaste treatment facilities, sewer manholes or tanks.

As first task the identification and selection of relevant mineral waste streams and secondary raw materials was carried out. Material streams, e.g., glass waste, metallurgical slags, construction and demolition waste (C&D), brick waste or mineral wools were selected. The chosen materials were characterized chemically and mineralogically, using XRF (X-ray fluorescence) and XRD (X-ray diffraction) techniques, respectively. The XRD results were used to identify and quantify crystalline phases and the amorphous content of the materials by applying Rietveld refinement, using an external standard (Jansen et al., 2011). The sum of reactive phases (Sum RP) was calculated from the amorphous content and the content of reactive crystalline phases, which mainly control the reactivity of the materials by readily providing Si and Al by dissolution during the alkali activation process.

Obtained results of selected samples for the current study are displayed in Table 1, and indicate the presence of materials with higher SiO<sub>2</sub> content than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, except for slag. Since most materials are Si-rich but Al-deficient, Al-rich materials are further required to replace primary Al-rich materials (e.g., metakaolin) as an Al-source in the geopolymer production.

Glass and mineral wool waste reveal preferable quantities in the SiO<sub>2</sub> content and the Sum RP, implying a high amount of reactive SiO<sub>2</sub> content. C&D and brick waste, however, exhibit a lower quantity of Sum RP, indicating less reactive SiO<sub>2</sub> available for the AAR. In comparison to the other samples presented in Table 1, the slag exhibits lower SiO<sub>2</sub>- and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-contents but shows an acceptable Sum RP (>20 wt.-%). This observation is due to the reactive phases represented by belite (e.g.,  $\gamma$ -dicalcium silicate) and tricalcium aluminate (C<sub>3</sub>A), which are common mineral phases in steel slags (Engström et al., 2013). All presented materials are characterized by a Sum RP >20 wt.-% and in most cases a SiO<sub>2</sub> content >55 wt.-%, indicating adequate suitability as precursors for the development of geopolymer construction materials.

Table 1: Bulk geochemical characterization of representative waste materials and secondary raw materials, presenting relevant elements in oxide form. Sum RP indicates the sum of reactive phases, as derived from XRD and Rietveld refinement.

in wt.-%	Material				
	C&D waste	Brick waste	Glass waste	Mineral wool waste	Slag
SiO <sub>2</sub>	67.16	56.98	71.45	60.21	16.15
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	9.54	11.82	1.76	11.94	16.77
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +FeO	3.07	4.83	0.51	1.12	27.04
CaO	1.80	13.02	9.96	18.29	29.39
Sum RP	22.05	26.50	99.64	99.70	33.07

Multivariate statistical methods, such as principal component analysis (PCA), were further applied on the bulk geochemical and mineralogical datasets of more than 30 investigated waste samples. Preliminary results demonstrate the capability of the PCA in revealing waste-stream-related patterns by classifying the waste materials in the following groups: (i) clay-based waste, including brick waste, (ii) C&D waste, (iii) glass-waste, (iv) mineral wools and (v) slags. Such an approach enables the creation of a material database of relevant Austrian waste and secondary raw materials, which will be continuously extended with newly added materials.

Eventually, this material database will be used to define geochemical (*e.g.*, SiO<sub>2</sub> or Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content) and mineralogical (*e.g.*, amorphous content) parameters to facilitate the classification of waste materials, with or without pretreatment steps, regarding their suitability as precursors for geopolymer production.

## References

- Benhelal, E.; Zahedi, G.; Shamsaei, E.; Bahadori, A. (2013): Global strategies and potentials to curb CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in cement industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 51, S. 142–161. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.10.049.
- BMK - Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology, Republic of Austria (Ed.) (2023): *Bundes-Abfallwirtschaftsplan 2023. Teil 1*. Vienna.
- European Environment Agency (2023): *Waste generation in Europe*. Available at <https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/analysis/indicators/waste-generation-and-decoupling-in-europe>, Accessed 21 February 2024.
- Engström, F.; Adolfsson, D.; Samuelsson, C.; Sandström, Å.; Björkman, B. (2013): A study of the solubility of pure slag minerals. *Minerals Engineering* 41, S. 46–52. DOI: 10.1016/j.mineng.2012.10.004.
- Huang, B.; Gao, X.; Xu, X.; Song, J.; Geng, Y.; Sarkis, J. (2020): A Life Cycle Thinking Framework to Mitigate the Environmental Impact of Building Materials. *One Earth* 3 (5), S. 564–573. DOI: 10.1016/j.oneear.2020.10.010.
- International Energy Agency (2018): *Technology Roadmap - Low-Carbon Transition in the Cement Industry*. Available at <https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/cbaa3da1-fd61-4c2a-8719-31538f59b54f/TechnologyRoadmapLowCarbonTransitionintheCementIndustry.pdf>, Accessed 27 February 2024.
- Jansen, D.; Stabler, Ch.; Goetz-Neunhoeffler, F.; Dittrich, S.; Neubauer, J. (2011): Does Ordinary Portland Cement contain amorphous phase? A quantitative study using an external standard method. *Powder Diffr.* 26 (1), S. 31–38. DOI: 10.1154/1.3549186.
- Provis, J. L. (2014): Introduction and Scope. In: John L. Provis und Jannie S. J. van Deventer (Hg.): *Alkali Activated Materials*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands (13).
- Provis, J. L.; van Deventer, J. S.J. (Ed.) (2009): *Geopolymers. Structures, Processing, Properties and Industrial Applications*. Woodhead Publishing Series in Civil and Structural Engineering: Woodhead Publishing.
- United Nations Environment Programme (Ed.) (2022): *Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction. Towards a Zero-emission*. Nairobi.

## Acknowledgements

This study was carried out in the course of the Christian Doppler Laboratory for Waste-based geopolymer construction materials in the CO<sub>2</sub>-neutral circular economy (GECCO<sub>2</sub>). The financial support by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Labour and Economy and the Christian Doppler Research Association is greatly acknowledged. The co-financing industry partners are ÖBB Infrastruktur AG, Voestalpine Stahl Donawitz GmbH, Kirchdorfer Fertigteilmolding GmbH, Marienhütte Stahl- und Walzwerk GmbH, Brantner green solutions GmbH, Initiative Ziegel, Forschungsverein der Stein- und keramischen Industrie, Gemeinschaft Steirischer Abwasserentsorger (GSA), MM-Kanalrohr-Sanierung GmbH and CharLine GmbH.